

Table of contents

Standing up for the voiceless? Exploring the EU's capacity for rights protection. An introduction

Denis Duez & Cecilia Rizcallah	5
1. Standing up for the voiceless: an analytical framework	7
1.1. The classical democratic model and its limitations	7
1.2. Giving a voice to the voiceless: the rise of the EU unmuting narrative	10
1.3. Voicelessness: a new research agenda	13
2. Structure of the book	19

Defining the green to make environmental concerns heard in the EU? The legal consequences of the (unexpected) uses of the taxonomy

Norman Vander Putten	23
1. Taxonomising the contribution or harm to environmental protection in the European Union	28
1.1. The Taxonomy Regulation unpacked	29
1.2. Incidental taxonomies in the Recovery and Resilience Facility, the Green Bonds Regulation and the Green Budgeting Framework	32
1.2.1. The Recovery and Resilience Facility	32
1.2.2. The Green Bonds Regulation	34
1.2.3. The Green Budgeting Initiative	35
2. Taxonomies as the relatively unexpected component of rising selective industrial action	37
2.1. The Taxonomy confronted with a rising environmental governance through spending	37
2.2. Rethinking the legitimacy and legality of delegated acts considering the taxonomy's uses	41
Conclusion	44

Unmuting the environment in EU competition law – Broadening the notion of “fair share to consumers” when assessing sustainable cooperation initiatives

Tom Buytaert	47
1. What the new EU Commission’s guidelines on cooperation agreements foresee – A (modest) evolution	52
1.1. The main principles of the sustainability chapter of the Guidelines	52
1.2. Art. 101(3) TFEU – the “fair share to consumers” requirement in particular	54
2. What the EU guidelines could (or should) have foreseen	58
2.1. More ambitious national approaches	59
2.1.1. The example of the Netherlands	59
2.1.2. The example of Austria	62
2.1.3. The example of the UK	63
2.2. (Further) unmuting consumers (citizens) in the Commission’s Guidelines	65
2.2.1. The CJEU case-law does not stand in the way of (further) greening EU competition policy	65
2.2.2. The (constitutional) need to consider broad sustainability interests in the analysis framework under Article 101(3) TFEU	67
2.2.3. The requirement for companies to “collaborate” in order to meet their corporate sustainability due diligence obligations	69
Conclusion	71

Which voices are heard in European wildlife policy? The necessity to listen to the many voices of living beings affected by conservation project

Arnaud Gane	73
1. A legislation trying to unmute nature in the tension of environmental productivity interests	78
1.1. History of European legislation on biodiversity: ambitions thwarted by the primacy of economic interests	79
1.2. The failure of the Green Deal to act on the major impact of agriculture on European nature symbolized by the destiny of the “Farm to Fork” legislation	82

1.3. The Green Deal difficult attempts to change the legal perception of nature, represented by the complicated legislative process of the Nature Restoration Law	85
2. Rewilding as the most de-anthropized conservation practice, but with a potential <i>muting</i> effect on the other human groups concerned	88
2.1. Rewilding beyond restoration: the autonomy of wild nature as an ideal of the unmuting of nature	88
2.2. Vercors Vie Sauvage case study: how a rewilding project can affect local communities and reinforce their feeling of being muted	92
Conclusion	95
 Calling for coherence: Analysing the protection of environmental defenders in the European Green Deal	
Amanda Kron	97
1. Just transition in international law and the European Green Deal	100
1.1. Just transition	100
1.2. The European Green Deal	101
2. Environmental defenders	102
2.1. Framings by UN human rights mechanisms	103
2.2. Aarhus Convention	105
2.3. European Network on National Human Rights Institutions (ENNHRI)	106
2.4. European Court of Justice	107
2.5. European Court of Human Rights	108
2.6. Other regulations, directives and EU policies	109
2.7. Concluding analysis: International law and the EU	111
3. Environmental defenders and the European Green Deal	111
3.1. Taxonomy Regulation	112
3.2. EU Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive	113
Concluding analysis	116
 Citizenship against democracy? Consequences of un-muting Hungarians beyond the borders on the EU's rule of law crisis	
Anita Kovacs	119
1. The case of Hungarians beyond the borders: three pillars of electoral autocracy	120

1.1. Preferential naturalisation for a new category of supporters	121
1.2. Muted dissent and amplified consent within the electoral system	124
1.2.1. Differential treatment based on physical presence in the country, ethnic background, and country of residence	127
1.2.2. Effects of discrimination favouring ethnic Hungarians against dissidents	130
1.2.3. Low representativity of the will of the people	135
1.3. Additional benefits as insurance for pocketing votes	137
2. The EU's limited capacity to interfere with prerogatives reserved for Member States	139
2.1. EU citizenship: no grip on acquisition rules	139
2.2. Democracy: no common conception despite the rule of law connection	141
2.3. Non-discrimination in fundamental rights: lack of proper instruments	143
2.4. Non-discrimination in economic freedoms: limited scope of EU law regarding travel benefits	144
Concluding remarks	146

Article 10(3) TEU: Unlocking untapped potential to safeguard muted citizens' democratic rights

Timothée Ceurremans & Elisabeth David	147
1. Article 10(3) TEU: A remedy against illiberalism?	150
1.1. Operationalizing the value of democracy	150
1.2. Why Article 10(3), first sentence, TEU?	151
1.3. Type and nature of obligations	153
1.4. Direct effect of Article 10(3) TEU	153
1.5. Limits: Systemic deficiencies, national identity and the principle of conferral	154
2. The preliminary reference mechanism: Opportunities and challenges for strategic litigation on the basis of Article 10(3) TEU	155
2.1. Opportunities	155
2.2. Challenges	157
3. Unmuting in the context of the EU: Legal mobilization, transformative constitutionalism and value-enforcement through the EU citizen	160
3.1. Legal mobilization by individuals or groups	160

3.2. The institutional condition for successful legal mobilization: Transformative constitutionalism in Kirchberg	161
3.3. The EU citizen as central actor in the EU's composite democracy: Remedial participatory democracy	163
3.4. The Haves and Have-nots: EU actors in a skewed system	166
3.5. The EU citizen and the Member State on the OS-RP spectrum	167
Conclusion	169

From passivity to empowerment of the European patient in the light of new digital challenges

Tiphaine Maloingne	171
1. The moderna tale of David against Goliath	174
1.1. Genesis of inequality: the inevitable struggle for data protection	174
1.2. Reinforcement of inequality: the patient's vulnerability facing health data protection	177
2. Reversing the tale of David against Goliath: A European patient granted with voice and rights	179
2.1. Premises of a voice: a diversity of actions in favor of the European patient	180
2.2. A European patient empowered: a toolbox of digital fundamental rights	183
3. A patient prone to empowerment: a gradual inclusion in the legislative process	186
3.1. A patient empowered and heard on a micro level	186
3.2. A patient empowered and heard on a macro level	188
Concluding remarks	191

Silencing falsehoods: Enhancing the EU's unmuting power trough tackling gendered disinformation

Valentina Golunova	193
1. The "unmuting" narrative in the EU's digital policy	197
2. Critical appraisal of the EU's regulatory measures on gendered disinformation	201
2.1. Legal instruments applicable to gendered disinformation	202
2.2. Mechanisms for addressing gendered disinformation	203
2.3. Remedies available to victims of gendered disinformation	208
Conclusion	211

From silence to empowerment: Rethinking the EU's legal response to hate speech

Stevi Kitsou	213
Introduction	213
1. Understanding Hate Speech: Societal and legal perspectives	217
2. Addressing hate speech within the Council of Europe	220
3. Combating hate speech in the EU	224
3.1. The Council Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA on combatting certain forms and expressions of racism and xenophobia by the means of criminal law	226
3.2. The Audiovisual Media Services Directive	230
3.3. The Code of Conduct on Countering illegal Hate speech Online under the e-Commerce Directive and the Digital Services Act	231
3.4. Extending the list of EU crimes in Article 83 TFEU to hate speech and hate crime	234
4. Towards a coherent EU Approach to regulating hate speech	238
Conclusion	243

The identification of obligations under EU law: tools that can be used by relocated persons to voice their interests?

Zoé Briard	245
1. Relocated individuals in the EU: silenced by the lack of recognition	248
1.1. The existence of processes of planned relocations related to climate change in Europe, the examples of the Danube floodplains in Austria and the Simbach torrent in Germany	249
1.2. The challenges faced to be recognized as relocated persons and the consequent muting effect of this situation	251
1.3. The EU's position regarding planned relocations and climate mobilities	253
2. Planned relocations and human rights: promising and yet unconvincing solutions at the EU level	255
2.1. The right to life (Article 2 of the EU Charter and Article 2 of the ECHR)	256
2.2. The freedom of movement (Article 45 of the EU Charter)	258
2.3. The right to property (Article 17 of the EU Charter)	259
2.4. The right to respect for private and family life (Article 7 of the EU Charter and Article 8 of the ECHR)	260

3. The Water Framework Directive and the EU Floods Directive, an invitation for a more in-depth analysis of EU law to voice the interests of persons relocated	261
Conclusion	262
 The refugee resettlement selection process: The new European framework as a gamechanger in giving voice to refugees	
Caroline Leclercq	265
1. The new EU resettlement framework: A “common procedure under an EU regulation”	269
1.1. EU priorities	269
1.2. Admission procedure	270
1.3. Procedural aspects	273
1.4. Other actors	275
2. Does the new EU resettlement framework contribute to “unmute” refugees?	275
2.1. Access to resettlement and expression of refugee’s concerns: Content of the regulation	276
2.2. Effective protection of refugees’ fundamental right: EU Charter of fundamental rights	279
Conclusion	283